

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.: 09/890,364

Applicant(s): Paulus Cornelius Duineveld,

et al.

Filed: July 30, 2001

Title: ORGANIC ELECTROLUMINESCENT DEVICE AND

A METHOD OF MANUFACTURING THEREOF

TC/A.U.: 2800/2879

Examiner: S. Leurig

Atty. Docket: PHN-17,755

Page 1 of 17

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

I certify that this correspondence is being:

[X] deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Assistant Commissioner for Patents Mail Stop Appeal Brief
P.O Box 1450, Alexandria, VA

[] transmitted by facsimile to Technology Center 2800 of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at fax number (703) 872-9318

22313-1450.

On: 026 April 2004 By: MUNUL VIJAM Michelle Welgood

BRIEF ON APPEAL BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Honorable Assistant Commissioner of Patents 05/12/2004 TDANKINS 0000001 500238 09890364
01 FC:1251 A10.00 DA ... VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In connection with the Notice of Appeal filed on January 27, 2004, Applicants provide the following Brief on Appeal in triplicate in the above captioned application.

i/30/2004 SDIRETAL 00000131 500238 09890364

. FC:1402 330.00 DA

the Office's position. If the assertion of inherency is based on the personal knowledge of the Examiner, and affidavit under 37 C.F.R. 1.104(d) (2) is respectfully requested. In absence of either the extrinsic evidence or the affidavit the claim of inherency should be withdrawn.

Applicants maintain the assertions of the impropriety of the combination of Nagayama, et al. and Illegems, et al. set forth in a previous response. Accordingly, while no way conceding as to the propriety of the rejections set forth in the Office Actions, or the propriety of the combination of the applied references set forth in the Office Actions, Applicants respectfully submit that the applied art lacks a teaching of at least one of the features of claims 1 and 5.

For at least the reasons set forth above, it is respectfully submitted that the Office has failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). As such, claims 1 and 5, and the claims that depend therefrom are allowable over the applied art. Allowance is earnestly solicited.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, applicant(s) respectfully request(s): the withdrawal of all objections and rejections of record; the allowance of all the pending claims; and the holding of the application in condition for allowance. If any points remain in issue that may best be resolved through a personal or telephonic interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and further replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account Number 50-0238 for any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. §1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. §1.17.

In the event that there are any outstanding matters remaining in the present application, the Examiner is invited to contact William S. Francos, Esq. (Reg. No. 38,456) at (610) 375-3513 to discuss these matters.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of: Philips Electronics North America Corp.

by: William S. Francos (Reg. No. 38,456)

Date: April 26, 2004
Volentine Francos, PLLC
Two Meridian Blvd.
Wyomissing, PA 19610
(610) 375-3513